

United States Patent and Trademark Office

MN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,958	12/11/2003	Richard Keith Snyder	921,000-26	1331
34263 O'MELVENY	34,958 12/11/2003 Richard Keith Snyder 3 7590 07/31/2007 IELVENY & MYERS LLP NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE H FLOOR	EXAMINER		
			LIM, KRISNA	
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/734,958	SNYDER, RICHARD KEITH			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Krisna Lim	2153			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	1 December 2003.				
<u> </u>					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core	•				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a l		rappiyad			
Occ the attached detailed Office action for a f	ist of the certified copies flot	received.			
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	nformal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2153

4

1. Claims 1-14 are presented for examination.

2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 2

It is unclear because the claim 8 depends on itself.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chellis et al [U.S. Publication No. 2002/0120744].
- Chellis discloses (e.g., see Figs. 1-14) the invention substantially as claimed.
 Taking claims 1, 7-9 and 14 as exemplary claims, the reference discloses a system and

a method for dynamically allocating usage of a shared resource (pools of resource 25, §

11-12 and 18-28) between users A and users B (first consumer and second consumer,

etc.) comprising the steps of:

a) establishing an initial allocation percentage for user B for using the shared resource (e.g., see § 11);

b) establishing a threshold (capacity, § 18) allocation percentage for user B;

c) modifying (e.g., substituting/adding/removing, changing, etc.) the allocation

Application/Control Number: 10/734,958

Art Unit: 2153

percentage for user B based on the availability of the shared resource to user A (resource allocation rules/algorithms), wherein if the shared resource is unavailable to user A, the allocation percentage for user B is decreased and wherein if the shared resource is available to user A the allocation percentage for user B is increased (e.g., see § 11-18); and d) allocating usage of the shared resource to user B in accordance with the modified allocation percentage provided that the modified allocation percentage is less than the threshold allocation percentage (e.g., see § 11-18).

6. Chellis discloses (e.g., see § 10-25) a system and a method for monitoring and managing the usage of resources and for automatically and dynamically allocating such resources to consumers (users) based on the availability of the new resource (e.g., a new server with capacity to handle a number (e.g., 100) of users). And, Chellis discloses the ability to redefine resource requirement, allocation rules and algorithms to more efficiently (dynamically allocate not over-allocate or under-allocate, emphasis added) utilize resources. Further Chellis discloses means for manipulating the pool of resources available (e.g., adding/subtracting resources dynamically based on usage), for tracking the resource available and for defining and managing dependency relationship between applications (user) (see § 12). Chellis does not explicitly mention as claimed language (e.g., the allocation percentage for user B based on the availability of the shared resource to user A, wherein if the shared resource is unavailable to user A, the allocation percentage for user B is decreased and wherein if the shared resource is available to user A the allocation percentage for user B is increased. It would have been obvious of one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that such specific claimed language would have been a matter of detail teaching of Chellis' the ability to redefine resource requirement, allocation rules and algorithms to more efficiently (dynamically allocate not over-allocate or under-allocate, emphasis added) utilize resources,

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/734,958

Art Unit: 2153

means for manipulating the pool of resources available (e.g., adding/subtracting resources dynamically based on usage), for tracking the resource available and for defining and managing dependency relationship between applications.

- 7. As to claims 2-4 and 10-12, Chellis further discloses the shared resource is either a call center, computing resources (CPU cycles, disk capacity, etc.), communication bandwidth (e.g., see § 12-14).
- 8. As to claim 5, Chellis further discloses the threshold allocation percentage is less than 100% (e.g., operating near capacity, § 18).
- 9. As to claim 6, Chellis further discloses modifying the threshold allocation percentage (e.g., manipulating the pool of resources available, adding/subtracting resources dynamically based on usage, § 12).
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The references are cited in the Form PTO-892 for the applicant's review.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application (see 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisna Lim whose telephone number is 571-272-3956. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess, can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Art Unit: 2153

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ΚI

July 18, 2007

KRISNA LIM PRIMARY EXAMINER